

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,521	02/05/2004	Yasuhiro Nihei	248570US 2	2885	
22850 7	590 05/18/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			COX, CASSANDRA F		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/771,521	NIHEI ET AL.				
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cassandra Cox	2816				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	iress			
 Failure to reply within the set or extended 	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply te, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this cor NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u>	bruary 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application i							
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,8,12 and 13</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 9-11</u>	(s) is/are withdraw allowed. is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
	05 February 2004 is/are that any objection to the deet(s) including the correction	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob Irawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) △ Acknowledgment is ma a) △ All b) □ Some * c) 1. △ Certified copies 2. □ Certified copies 3. □ Copies of the ce application from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the priori the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Apr ty documents have been re	olication No eceived in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)		_					
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-0 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr	392) awing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/05/04</u> .	s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		rmal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1, 8, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nihei et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,791,596)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In reference to claim 1, Nihei discloses in Figure 2 a circuit for generating a pixel clock (PCLK) for use in scanning a laser beam, comprising: a high frequency clock generating circuit (11) which generates a high-frequency clock (VCLK) having a higher

Application/Control Number: 10/771,521 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

frequency than the pixel clock (PCLK); and a control circuit (12, 13, 14) which generates the pixel clock (PCLK) while shifting a phase of the pixel clock (PCLK) by a shift step proportional to a clock cycle of the high-frequency clock in response to phase data (PHASE DATA) indicative of timing and amounts of phase shifts. The same applies to claims 8, 12 (wherein Nihei discloses in Figure 20 the pixel clock generator (120); a laser drive unit (150); a photoconductor (208); and a deflector (204)), and claim 13 (wherein Nihei discloses in Figure 20 the photo-detectors (101, 102) and a dot-position-error detecting controlling unit (110)).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 2-7 and 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 2-7 and 9-11 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 2 wherein the control circuit includes a phase synchronizing signal generating circuit (15) in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 2816

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1, 8, and 12-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims1-101 of U. S. Patent No. 6,791,596 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a high frequency clock generator, a control circuit, a pixel clock generator, a laser drive unit, a photoconductor, a deflector, photo-detectors and a dot-position-error detecting controlling unit.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Cox whose telephone number is 571-272-1741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM and on alternate Fridays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,521 Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 14, 2005

TUANT.LAM